IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO. 13803 OF 2004

Between:

Smt.B.Mangayamma, w/o late Annavaram,
Ramachandrapuram, East Godavari District.

... PETITIONER

AND

1. APSRTC rep., by its Vice Chairman and Managing Director,
   Musheerabad, Hyderabad.
2. The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Rajahmundry, E.G.District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or directions, more in the nature of writ of Mandamus directing the 2nd respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on the petitioner's representation dated 04-09-2003 for providing any suitable post under compassionate grounds and pass any such other or orders as this Court deems fit and proper.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr.P.GOVINDA RAJULU

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.Y.V.SWAMY

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

Oral Order:

The petitioner is the wife of an employee of the respondents—Corporation who died in harness on 18-1-1997 while in service. The petitioner submitted an application on 28-5-1997 for a suitable employment on breadwinner scheme. The respondents rejected the application of the petitioner on 19-5-1999 on the ground of non-possessing of minimum educational qualification of 8th Class. Against the said order, the petitioner filed W.P.No.13485 of 2001 before this Court and the same was disposed of 4-7-2001 with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for the post of "Cleaner" and pass appropriate orders within three months. In pursuance of the order of this Court, the second respondent passed an order on 25-9-2001 rejecting the application for providing employment to her son with a new ground that her son did not complete 18 years of age on 17-1-2000. She again made an application on 4-9-2003 before the second respondent requesting to consider the case of her son for employment under breadwinner scheme as per the Circular No.PD-60/2003 dated 30-7-2003. The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents did not pass any order on the representation dated 4-9-2003, therefore, she approached this Court seeking a direction to the respondents to consider the application dated 4-9-2003 regarding the employment of her son on breadwinner scheme.

In the light of the circumstances explained by the petitioner, the second respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 4-9-2003 seeking employment of her son under breadwinner scheme within two months from the date of receipt of this order.

With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage.

_____

(Dr.G. Yethirajulu, J)

13th August 2004

svs

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Vice Chairman and Managing Director APSRTC, Musheerabad, Hyderabad.

2. The Regiional Manager, APSRTC, Rajahmundry, E.G.District.

3.2CD copies